

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,941		10/31/2003	Toru Takayama	0756-7215	8929	
31780	7590	11/29/2005		EXAMINER		
ERIC RO	DBINSC	N	nguyen, Joseph H			
PMB 955 21010 SOUTHBANK ST.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		LS, VA 20165	2815			
				DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				177					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- W					
		10/697,941	TAKAYAMA ET AL						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Joseph Nguyen	2815						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	tress					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may vill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this col ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·					
Status									
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Se	eptember 2005.							
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims		,						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 4,5,20 and 28-31 is/are pending in the	e application.							
.—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>4,5,20 and 28-31</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 June 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies in	or received.						
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO)	-152)					
	r No(s)/Mail Date 6/16/2005.	6) 🔲 Other: _	• •						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of claims 4-5, 20 and 28-31 in the reply filed on 09/09/2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitations "a protective film over the adhesive material; an insulating film over the protective film; and a middle processing component comprising a control section and an operation section, and a memory unit over the insulating film" in claims 4 and 29; and "a protective film over the adhesive material; an insulating film over the protective film; and a middle processing component comprising a control section and an operation section, and a memory unit over the insulating film; and a battery over the substrate" in claims 20 and 28 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate

Art Unit: 2815

prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamazaki et al. (US 2003/0062519).

Regarding claim 4, Yamazaki et al. discloses in figure 8B a semiconductor device comprising a substrate 810a (para [0148], line 6); an adhesive film 833 (para [0148], line 11) over the substrate; a protective film 811 (para [0149], line 1) over the adhesive material; an insulating film 820 (para [0149], line 1) over the protective film; and a middle processing component comprising a control section 821 (para [0152], line 4) and an operation section 813 (para [0152], line 2) and a memory unit (para [0162], lines 1-4) over the insulating film, wherein the middle processing component 821 includes a thin film transistor of n channel type and a thin film of p channel type (para [0152], lines 6-7).

Note that the claimed protective film is not purported to encompass a specific material or structure different than element 811 as shown in figure 8B of Yamazaki et al. Therefore, element 811 can function as a protective film.

Regarding claim 5, Yamazaki et al. discloses the semiconductor device is a personal computer as shown in figure 10A (para [0179], line 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over figure 8B of Yamazaki et al. in view of figure 10B of Yamazaki et al.

Regarding claim 20, similar to claim 4 above, Yamazaki et al. discloses in figure 8B substantially all the structure set forth in claim 20 except a battery over the substrate. However, Yamazaki et al. discloses in figure 10B a battery 2105 attached to a video camera (para [0180], lines 1-3), which is normally formed on the substrate. Thus, the battery is over the substrate. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious at the time of the present invention to modify figure 8B by having a battery over the substrate to provide power to run the video camera as the structure as shown in figure 8B is used in the video camera (para [0177]).

Claims 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. in view of Choi et al. (US 2002/0084459).

Regarding claims 28-29, similar to claims 4 and 20 above, Yamazaki et al. discloses substantially all the structure set forth in the claimed invention. Further, Yamazaki et al. discloses the protective film 811 is made of silicon oxide (para [0150], lines 22-24), not Teflon as claimed. However, Choi et al. discloses in para [0062], lines 4-6 the protective film can be made of either silicon oxide or Teflon. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious at the time of the present invention to modify Yamazaki et al. by having the protective film made of Teflon because silicon oxide and Teflon are recognized in the art as equivalents.

Regarding claims 30-31, Yamazaki et al. discloses the semiconductor device is a personal computer as shown in figure 10A (para [0179], line 1).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4, 20 and 28-31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1734. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am- 4:30 pm. If

Application/Control Number: 10/697,941 Page 7

Art Unit: 2815

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JN November 15, 2005.

> JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER